UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MATTHTHIUS COHEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

9:16-CV-593 (FJS/TWD)

CO M. WELCH, Correctional Officer, Upstate Correctional Facility; DARRIN CORRIGEUX, Correctional Officer, Upstate Correctional Facility, formerly known as D. Corrigway; and SGT. VESNESKI, Upstate Correctional Facility,

Defendants.

APPEARANCES

OF COUNSEL

MATTHTHIUS COHEN 15-A-4146

Clinton Correctional Facility P.O. Box 2000 Dannemora, New York 12929 Plaintiff *pro se*

OFFICE OF THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL

RYAN W. HICKEY, AAG

The Capitol Albany, New York 12224 Attorneys for Defendants

SCULLIN, Senior Judge

ORDER

Plaintiff, an inmate in the custody of the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision ("DOCCS"), brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that, on May 13, 2016, Defendants subjected him to excessive force and failed to intervene in

violation of his Eighth Amendment rights. *See generally* Dkt. No. 23. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on the ground that Plaintiff had failed to exhaust his administrative remedies. *See* Dkt. No. 26. Plaintiff opposed Defendants' motion. *See* Dkt. Nos. 29, 35.

This Court referred the motion to Magistrate Judge Dancks for a Report and Recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 72.3(c). In an Order and Report-Recommendation dated July 11, 2017, Magistrate Judge Dancks recommended that this Court grant Defendants' motion and dismiss Plaintiff's amended complaint without prejudice for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. *See* Dkt. No. 43 at 14. Plaintiff filed objections to those recommendations. *See* Dkt. No. 44.

After reviewing a magistrate judge's recommendations, the district court may accept, reject or modify those recommendations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The court reviews *de novo* those portions of the magistrate judge's recommendations to which a party objects. *See Pizzaro v. Bartlett*, 776 F. Supp. 815, 817 (S.D.N.Y. 1991). """If, however, the party makes only conclusory or general objections, . . . the Court reviews the Report and Recommendation only for clear error.""" *Salmini v. Astrue*, No. 3:06-CV-458, 2009 WL 179741, *1 (N.D.N.Y. June 23, 2009) (quoting *[Farid v. Bouey*, 554 F. Supp. 2d 301] at 306 [(N.D.N.Y. 2008)] (quoting *McAllan v. Von Essen*, 517 F. Supp. 2d 672, 679 (S.D.N.Y. 2007))).

Plaintiff continues to complain that Defendants used excessive force against him on May 13, 2016, and, in addition, asserts that he has been denied medical attention and suffers physically and mentally from cruel and unusual punishment. *See generally* Dkt. No. 44. Plaintiff also notes that, "[b]efore the incident of May 13, 2016, [he] wrote ample grievences [sic] to Albany as well as hand

written letters to Commissions [sic] office as well as had [his] wife whom is herrassed [sic] as well submit letters and complaints in fear of [his] life " See id. at 1-2. Finally, Plaintiff included a document entitled "Inmate Disciplinary History" as part of his objections, see id. at 3; however,

none of the incidents listed on that document occurred on May 13, 2016, which is the only incident

that is the subject of this lawsuit.

Despite the general and conclusory nature of Plaintiff's objections to Magistrate Judge

Dancks' recommendations, the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record; and, having

completed that review, the Court concludes that Plaintiff has clearly not exhausted his

administrative remedies with regard to the May 13, 2016 incident. Accordingly, the Court hereby

ORDERS that Magistrate Judge Dancks' July 11, 2017 Order and Report-Recommendation,

see Dkt. No. 43, is **ACCEPTED** in its entirety for the reasons stated therein; and the Court further

ORDERS that Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint, see Dkt. No. 26, is

GRANTED; and the Court further

ORDERS that Plaintiff's amended complaint, see Dkt. No. 23, is **DISMISSED** without

prejudice for failure to exhaust administrative remedies; and the Court further

ORDERS that the Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this Order on the parties in

accordance with the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 2, 2017

Syracuse, New York

Senior United States District Judge

uller

-3-